	1.4(c)
- MAL ODOLUM	1.4(0)
TOP SECRET	A.L



## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Washington, D.C. 20505

General Counsel

14 June 2004

The Honorable Jack L. Goldsmith, III Assistant Attorney General Office of Legal Counsel Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Goldsmith:

This is in response to your letter of 10 June 2004.

The document referenced in your letter entitled, "Legal Principles Applicable to CIA Detention and Interrogation of Capture Al-Qa'ida Personnel", contains what we have understood to be a shorthand summary of the legal principles applicable to the Central Intelligence Agency's (CIA) treatment of captured Al Qa'ida <u>personnel. Representatives of the Departme</u>nt <mark>of Justice's</mark> Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) and CIA's Office of General Counsel (OGC) jointly prepared the Legal Principles document during May and June 2003 based principally on legal research, opinions, and advice from OLC. With OLC's knowledge, the document was created for use by the CIA's Inspector General in its review of CIA interrogations practices in the counterterrorism arena. The inter-office coordination of the document included substantial drafting efforts by then Deputy Assistant Attorney General John Yoo and other OLC staff. The Legal Principles document also served as a basis for the "Degal Authorities" briefing slide used at a 29 July 2003 meeting attended by the Vice President, the National Security Advisor, the Attorney General, who was accompanied by Patrick Philbin, the Director of Central Intelligence, and others. The "Legal Authorities" slide was independently coordinated by OGC with OLC and the White House Counsel's office prior to the July meeting. That meeting and a follow-on briefing of the Secretaries of Defense and State using the same clide resulted in a reaffirmation of the policy and lugal bases of CIA's detention and interrogation program. 3.5(c)

74	a *			
			04.000	. 4
		1.4(c)	012000	1

4		4	,		,
1		Δ	1	$\sim$	
- 1	•	т	1	v	ì

r	
	7.51
	r

The Honorable Jack L. Goldsmith, III

Given the provenance of the Legal Principles document, which is reflected in contemporaneous CIA documentation, the statement in your letter that the document's contents "did not and do not represent an opinion or a statement of the views of this Office" raises concerns. On its face the document itself is, of course, not in the form of an official opinion of the Department. Your current characterization, however, goes further and requires that I ask you to formally address the following question: If the Legal Principles document does not represent OLC's "views" and CIA cannot rely on its substance as representing authoritative legal guidance from OLC, for what purposes may the document and all or any of its principles be used? I would appreciate your promptly answering this question in a form upon which the CIA may rely.

Since, as you know, CIA often seeks Department of Justice quidance on a wide variety of activities, your answer to the question set forth above will have broader implications for the daily interactions between CIA and the Department. As a general matter, CIA's leaders need to fully understand the extent to which they may rely in good faith on guidance from Department of Justice representatives when that guidance is not contained within signed legal opinions. My attorneys in particular need to understand what significance, if any, they should attach to legal guidance provided to them by Department representatives when the guidance is provided chally or in documents that are not signed legal opinions.

You also asked that OGC provide OLC with our views in writing on the question of whether certain interrogation techniques could be applied to captured Al Qa'ida personnel consistent with the "shock the conscience" standards of the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution. Our understanding, which was consistent with the penultimate principle set forth in the Legal Principles document that was coordinated with OLC and briefed to the National Security Council Principals and others in July and September 2003, had been that the interrogation techniques described in ObC's 1 August 2002 signed legal opinion concerning the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah legally could be applied to other captured Al Qa'ida personnel consistent with a merits analysis of the "shock the conscience" standards of the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution. To the extent your office needs particular facts and descriptions of interpogation techniques to draft a formal legal opinion on this question, please use those provided in OLC's August 2002 opinion to CIA.

1.4(c)

0120002

ż

preserved procedures for rendering signed legal opinions, it is worth emphasizing that CIA's detention and interrogation activities are part of a program authorized by the President-a program as to which, in addition, senior White House personnel have sought Department of Justice advice. In late May 2004, CIA suspended the use of authorized interrogation techniques pending renffirmation of the policy and legal bases for its interrogation program. Accordingly, there is now or likely soon will be, an "operational need" for a response to our wiften March 2004 request that OLC reaffirm its legal analyses contained in the documents identified in our request.  Sincorely  Scott W. Muller  3.5(c)			
Finally, in connection with your description of OLC's preferred procedures for rendering signed legal opinions, it is worth emphasizing that CIA's detention and interrogation activities are part of a program as to which, in addition, senior White House personnel have sought Department of Justice advice. In late May 2004, CIA suspended the use of authorized interrogation techniques rending renffirmation of the policy and legal bases for its interrogation program. Accordingly, there is now or likely soon will be, an "operational need" for a response to our written March 2004 request that OLC reaffirm its legal analyses contained in the documents identified in our request.  Sincerely  Scott W. Muller  3.5(c)			* **
Finally, in connection with your description of OLC's preferred procedures for rendering signed legal opinions, it is worth emphasizing that CIA's detention and interrogation activities are part of a program authorized by the President-a program as to which, in addition, senior White House personnel have sought Department of Justice advice. In late May 2604, CIA suspended the use of authorized interrogation techniques pending renffirmation of the policy and legal bases for its interrogation program. Accordingly, there is now or likely soon will be, an "operational need" for a response to our written March 2004 request that OLC reaffirm its legal analyses contained in the documents identified in our request.  Sincorely  Scott %. Muller  3.5(c)	mid. Christan	n	1.4(c)
Finally, in connection with your description of OLC's preferred procedures for rendering signed legal opinions, it is worth emphasizing that CIA's detention and interrogation activities are part of a program authorized by the President-a program as to which, in addition, senior White House personnel have sought Department of Justice advice. In late May 2604, CIA suspended the use of authorized interrogation techniques pending renffirmation of the policy and legal bases for its interrogation program. Accordingly, there is now or likely soon will be, an "operational need" for a response to our written March 2004 request that OLC reaffirm its legal analyses contained in the documents identified in our request.  Sincorely  Scott %. Muller  3.5(c)			
Finally, in connection with your description of OLC's preferred procedures for rendering signed legal opinions, it is worth emphasizing that CIA's detention and interrogation activities are part of a program authorized by the President-a program as to which, in addition, senior White House personnel have sought Department of Justice advice. In late May 2604, CIA suspended the use of authorized interrogation techniques pending renffirmation of the policy and legal bases for its interrogation program. Accordingly, there is now or likely soon will be, an "operational need" for a response to our written March 2004 request that OLC reaffirm its legal analyses contained in the documents identified in our request.  Sincorely  Scott %. Muller  3.5(c)		`.	74
preferred procedures for rendering signed legal opinions, it is worth emphasizing that CIA's detention and interrogation activities are part of a program authorized by the President-a program as to which, in addition, senior White House personnel have sought Department of Justice advice. In late May 2004, CIA suspended the use of authorized interrogation techniques pending reaffirmation of the policy and legal bases for its interrogation program. Accordingly, there is now or likely soon will be, an "operational need" for a response to our written March 2004 request that OLC reaffirm its legal analyses contained in the documents identified in our request.  Sincorely  Scott W. Muller  3.5(c)	The Honorabic Jack L. Gol	domith, III	
preferred procedures for rendering signed legal opinions, it is worth emphasizing that CIA's detention and interrogation activities are part of a program authorized by the President-a program as to which, in addition, senior White House personnel have sought Department of Justice advice. In late May 2004, CIA suspended the use of authorized interrogation techniques pending reaffirmation of the policy and legal bases for its interrogation program. Accordingly, there is now or likely soon will be, an "operational need" for a response to our written March 2004 request that OLC reaffirm its legal analyses contained in the documents identified in our request.  Sincorely  Scott W. Muller  3.5(c)			
Scott W. Muller  3.5(c)	preferred procedures for worth emphasizing that CI activities are part of a President-a program as to personnel have sought Dep 2004, CIA suspended the u techniques pending reaffi for its interrogation pro- likely soon will be, ap	rendering signed legal A's detention and interprogram a which, in addition, so artment of Justice advise of authorized intermation of the policy agram. Accordingly, the operational need for a	opinions, it is recognition authorized by the enior White House ice. In late May rogation and legal bases are is now or response to our
3.5(c)		s identified in our rec	
3.5(c)		and provide the new provide	
3.5(c)			
3.5(c)		Scott W. Muller	
1.4(c)			0.5( )
	1		3.5(C)
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	8		
	¥	Y	
		*	
	9		
	9.		3
	2	unit	
	18		
	¥	7- 50	
		3	
			*
0470000	a *	1.4(c)	
		3	01.20003

The Honorable John D. Rockefeller IV

3.5(c)

DCI/OGC/
S:\DCI\OGC\Front\_Office\_Users\
Correspondence\Stuff for -DCI
Transmittal of OIG Report with DOJ reference (Working) Rockefeller.doc

OGC-FO-2004-50065

## Distribution:

Orig - Addressee 1 - DCI Signer 1 - DCI/COS 1 - DDCI 1 - EXDIR

1 - DAC 1 - OCA

1 - DDO 1 - CTC

1 - GC 1 - GC 1 - SDGC

1 - MAGC

- 3.5(c)

1 - CTC/LGL 1 - OGC/SCCT

1.4(c)